UAV Data Transmission and Protocols



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union



"Communication is an essential part of any UAV"

UAV communication overview



Multi-layered communication, starting from embedded systems protocols, binding low level components, finished on global and extraterrestrial communication.



A great, multi-layered communication drone is ... Mars Rover.



Communication components, global overview (macro scale)



Communication components in local (micro scale)

Internal communication and protocols (micro-scale)

Internal communication



Covers all communication protocols binding drone building components/modules.

Both for commercial and military drones.

Common physical layer is cooper (wire, PCB circuit), rare is optical and/or wireless. Transmission distance is some mm up to some m.

Both digital and analogue signals.

Internal communication, analogue protocols



Analogue protocols are most common for analogue video transmission. Sometimes for sensing.

Most common use for analogue video nowadays is FPV.

Analogue video along with analogue transmission present lowest possible latency, essential in FPV racing.

Flight Controller is responsible for OSD (directly or via external video processor).

Internal communication, digital protocols

Flight Controllers used in UAV are build using popular MCUs, like: Atmega, STM (ARM), Intel Movidius, sometimes low voltage Intel/AMD x86/x64.

Thus digital protocols are those well known from IoT and Embedded Systems, i.e. I2C, SPI, Serial, CAN, 1-wire, etc.

Serial (COM, UART)

Possibly widest recognized serial protocol and one of the oldest still valid and present in variety of latest devices.

Asynchronous: there is no clock signal between nodes. 1-to-1 only.

Uses at least 2 wires for bidirectional transmission: RX->TX & TX->RX + GND.

The most popular is RS-232 dated ... 1960 (1969) :-).

Original voltage range is -15V...-3V & +3V...+15V.



Besides RX & TX there is a number of signalling wires that are (were) important in case of the dial-up connections and half-duplex communication: RI, DCD, RTS CTS, DSR, DTR. Currently they are not commonly used in case of fixed, wired connections in UAVs as it would involve bunch of additional wires (original RS-232 connector was type DB9/DB25).

Nowadays, only 3 pins are used for full duplex communication (2 for one-directional): GND, RX, TX.

UAV components share TTL logic as for the transmission (0..+5V) or (0..+3.3V), opposite to the original RS-232 that was -15 to -3 and then +3 to +15V.

Many devices are able to accept both 3.3V and 5V logic that excludes necessity to use logical level (voltage) converters.

It is common to drive 5V input with 3.3V logic device but mind, opposite can be tricky and burn the receiver! Check documentation for details to see if 3.3V logic device's input is able to handle 5V. If in doubt, use converter, they are ready, uni or bi-directional devices, available on the market.



RS-232: -15V...-3V presents logical 1, while +3V +15V present logical 0.

From the embedded system this voltage is problematic cause you need to generate relatively high, and negative voltage from constrained power source.

Majority of the nowaday devices identify 0..3V as logical 0, and >3V as logical 1, thus both 3.3V and 5V logic works.

Communication speed is between 50bps and over 1Mbps.

Most common is between 9600 and 115200bps.

Maximum transmission speed is related to the distance (longer = slower).

Other properties: parity bit, stop bit.

<u>Usage</u>

In case of the UAVs, UART is commonly used to:

- Flashing of the FC/components (usually serial over USB or over Bluetooth)
- Telemetry
- External systems
 - communication and signalling
- Not so common for sensors but some of them do use it (i.e. air quality sensors).

<u>Hint</u>

In the UAVs it may happen that:

 UART connections are usually implemented with non-shielded wires, thus motors via their electromagnetic field may interfere UART transmission.

SPI

Protocol supports 1 to many topology, but only one at a time can transmit data.

Synchronous protocol, using separate clock signal for all devices.

At least 3 wires (plus GND) for single device-device connection, and then +1 wire for each extra device appearing on the bus. If there are only 2 devices on the bus, you can limit to 2 wires (plus GND) and permanently enable them.

Master (usually FC) controls the bus to let Slaves transmit.



MISO - Master In Slave Out - transmission channel (wire/bus) from the device (Slave) to the controller (Master)

MOSI - Master Out Slave In - transmission channel (wire/bus) from the controller (Master) to the device (Slave)

SCK - Serial Clock - common clock signal for all devices, controlled by the Master

SS - Slave Select - enables Slave device to send data to the bus

Bandwidth up to 20Mbps.

Logical levels up to the technology, usually one of the TTLs: 0..5V, 0...3.3V.

There are variations: 3-wire (common MISO/MOSI for a half-duplex transmission), multi I/O (multi channel) to parallelise and speed up transmission, i.e. when accessing flash.

Both extensions are rather rare in the drone's hardware.

There are 4 modes, controlling clock polarity and probing timing (rising edge/falling edge).

Mode must be same for Master and Slave(s) otherwise won't work.

Most common is mode = 0: CPOL=0, CPHA=0; //*



* CPOL=0 ->rising edge; CPHA=0 - sampling phase in the mid of the data signal change (data signal cycle starts on falling edge of SCK)



Internal digital com. - I²C (TWI)

Synchronous protocol with 1 to many topology.

Uses only two wires for bi-directional transmission:

- SDA data
- SCL clock

Transmission speed is up to 5Mbps, but typical is much slower: 100-400kbps.

Internal digital com. - I²C (TWI)



Devices share common bus. One device governs the bus (Master).

Each device has a unique digital address (7-bit) on the I2C bus. Many I2C devices (sensors, actuators) have a solderable pad to change one or two bits of their address, to enable more than one device of same type/manufacturer co-exist.

Bus requires pull-up resistors but it is common that Master device provides them internally.

Internal digital com. - I²C (TWI)

Logical levels up to the technology, usually one of the TTLs: 0..5V, 0...3.3V.

I²C is very popular in UAVs because it uses two wires only. It is common that FC uses I2C sensors, in particular barometer, IMU, compass (magnetometer), proximity sensors, etc.





Internal digital com. - inne

There are many other (i.e. 1-Wire) protocols but are not very common in UAVs.

The only exception is CAN that is present in rather "large" and "professional" constructions, usually related to the PLC controller and sharing components with automotive.

CAN protocol is very popular in the case of UGV, cars, trucks (general automotive, majority of cars, manufactured after the year 2000, are equipped with CAN bus onboard), and planes.

PWM (actuators)

Pulse Width Modulation (PWM)

- Used to control servos and DC motor speed (indirectly).
- PWM uses duty cycle to control energy transferred to the actuator. It uses square wave signal with varying 1 to 0 ratio (duty cycle) while signal frequency is fixed.

Pulse Width Modulation:

- So called "Analogue" (standard) servo motors use 50Hz (period = 20ms)
 - Latest "digital" servo motors use higher, i.e. 300Hz that means better response and lower latency
- Duty cycle defines angle



External communication and protocols (macro-scale)

External communication

External communication is essential to let the UAV co-exist and be "usable". It is used to contact ground components (ground station), other aerial objects, satellite navigation, etc.

This communication due to its nature is:

WIRELESS

This principle applies both for UAV and UGV.

RC - Remote Control

External com. - RC

RC is essential part of any UAV.

Depending on the autonomy level and flight mode, it can be crucial for operation (manual flight), supplementary (correcting autonomous flight) or just suspended (when fully autonomous flight) but in any case it is obligatory nowadays to let the operator take control in case of emergency or unforeseen circumstances.

External com. - RC

Physical layer:

- Old, analogue radio communication carrying PWM signals were 27MHz i 35MHz.
 - It needed unique sub-frequencies among operators, so it was common to exchange oscillator pairs to stay unique from other users. The advantage was: great range, disadvantage: interferences.
- Currently we use digital transmission in 2.4GHz i 5.8 GHz.
- Rare: 433MHz and 868MHz/915MHz (in US and Asia).
External com. - RC

Logical layer:

- Common RC protocols are:
 - PWM
 - PPM (CPPM)
 - PCM
 - CPPM
 - SBUS (Futaba, Frsky)
 - DSM (DSM2 i DSMX)
 - Spektrum Satellite
 - FlySky IBUS

- XBUS
- MSP (Multiwii)
- SUMD (Graupner)
- SUMH (j.w.)
- CRSF Crossfire (TBS)
- FPort (Frsky)
- SPI_RX

RC PWM

External com. - RC PWM

- Analogue.
- Typical "servo" duty cycle, encoded with FM. One directional, from RC controller to the drone's RC receiver.
- Simple and limited in the number of concurrent channels to be transmitted (usually 4 independent, PWM channels).
- Easy to decode.
- Obsolete still considered as generic.

RC CPPM

External com. - RC (C)PPM

- Analogue
- CPPM transmitter composes PWM channels into the one radio channel, then receiver decomposes them and directs to the separate PWMs controlling servos/motors.
- Disadvantage: slow.
- 8 channel transmission utilises around 50% of the radio bandwidth.
- When connecting RC to FC using PWM, there is one wire per channel needed (plus power and GND) so in i.e. 8 channel system there are 10 wires in total.

External com. - RC CPPM

- It is rather old technology and obsolete still legacy: majority of the manufacturers offer it, if not directly then indirectly as converters from proprietary to (C)PPM.
- The advantage comparing to the PWM receiver is that it uses only 3 wires (power, signal, gnd) to connect RC with FC, while FC is supposed to decode (C)PPM.

RC PCM

External com. - RC PCM

- Digital
- Similar to PPM (CPPM) and developed as digital extension of the analogue PPM
- It has transmission control (inference detection) and limited self-correction capabilities.
- More tolerant for radio inferences comparing to the analogue transmissions.



Komunikacja zew. - RC SBUS

- Futaba & FrSky compatible (along with some clones)
- Up to 18 control (PWM) channels encoded into the single transmission channel (radio, then wire to FC)
- Digital
- In terms of logical levels, decoded signal is an inverted UART (inverted TTL voltage logic)
 - Some MCUs standing behind FCs. i.e. STM F4 has no capability to invert TTL logic back so requires external decoder.
- Patented by Futaba
 - You can find many hacked solutions for various MCUs both for encoding and decoding SBUS, i.e. on Github

RC DSM DSM2 i DSMX

External com. - DSM (2,X)

- Origins from "Spectrum", nowadays majority of other manufacturers provide DSM implementation
- Digital
- Uses 98 radio channels, from 2.400 to 2.483GHz
- It uses double channels in operation: "base" and "backup" (used in case of inference).
- Protocol itself is quite complex, has 4 operation modes and bandwidth about 1Mbps (in GFSK mode).
- It uses CRC for error detection and correction.

External com. - DSM (2,X)

- DSM can switch channels dynamically is more reliable and inference tolerant comparing to aforementioned.
- DSMX is the newer protocol, considered to be even more inference tolerant comparing to the old DSM and DSM2.
 It supports up to 100 operators to share same space. It successfully co-exists with WiFi (same frequency range).
- In theory DSM2 and DSMX are backwards compatible (both transmitters and receivers) but in practice it is better to have same type pair.

RC Spektrum Satellite DSM

External com. - Satellite

- Technical extension to the DSM.
- Ground stations with Satellite DSM provides external antenna connector (also with dynamic positioner) to extend range and reliability.
- In case of the UAV modules it means they present more than one transmission/reception antennas to build omni-directional system (so called Remote Receivers).







External com. - WiFi

- Typical TCP/UDP as known from the Internet.
- Requires pretty high transmission power.
- Limited range (using directional antennas up to hundreds of meters).
- Very crowded radio space in particular inn urban areas
- When connection dropped, re-connecting takes long (ISO/OSI stack reset).
- It is too complex as for remote control and video transmission, still frequently used due to the widespread availability of software and hardware components.

External com. - WiFi

- WiFi's advantage is that the ground station can be any of the mobile phones, tablets, laptops. It significantly lowers UAV solution price.
 - Pretty common in toy drones.
 - Many high level RC protocols use WiFi as underlying protocol i.e. for remote configuration.
- UAV behaves then like a "flying" access point with servers on-board.

External com. - WiFi

- Networking layer include TCP i UDP (UDP for Video).
 - It is rather for telemetry, configuration as introduces high latency, still you can control the drone if needed but surely not for FPV racing.
 - Controllers based on mobile phones / tablets present even lower latency and low reliability because of the use of touch screen with virtual controllers.
 - Good for fun flying, eventually basic video recording tasks.

Bluetooth

External com. - Bluetooth

- Classical wireless protocol, well known from the mobile phones as for about 20 years already.
- Currently 5.0 specification is available on the market.
- Limited range. Depending on the class, theoretically up to 100m in reference conditions:
 - In practice up to 10m-20m due to the WiFi inference.
- Requires binding between transmitter and receiver (5.0 does not, when in mesh mode/topology).

External com. - Bluetooth

- Bluetooth 5.0 offers mesh topology that can be useful when managing a swarm of drones but they must remain in close distance.
- Bluetooth advantage is availability of hardware and software components, and possibility to use majority of the mobile devices as flight controllers.
- Serious disadvantage is very limited communication range.

RC IBUS

External com. - IBUS

- Authored by FlySky
- Digital, compatible with UART 115200, 8 bits, no parity, 1 stop bit.
- Bidirectional (RC+telemetry)
- Frame is sent every 7ms
- Two wires (full duplex) onboard, between RC and FC (RX, TX, one wire per transmission direction.
- Telemetry solution uses sensor addressing, up to 16 devices, where 0 is reserved for remote receiver's voltage monitoring.

External com. - IBUS

- It is very easy to connect and decode with virtually any MCU, simply using UART port.
- Binary protocol.
- Opposite to the SBUS it is not using logical inversion.
- Even if commercially authored, it is well, open documented and has great community support along with number of samples and ready solutions.

RC MSP (Multiwii)

External com. - MSP

- Multiwii Serial Protocol (MSP)
- Digital
- Uses classical serial (UART), as it is application level protocol, it does not specify speed not physical layer.
- Protocol is partially textual (non-binary).
- Communication is composed of the transactions between transmitter and receiver.
- It is bi-directional and includes variety of message types in their specification, including telemetry.

External com. - MSP

- The most common use is remote configuration. It also supports remote control but rather as additional feature. It is present (implemented) in almost any open-source FC software
- It has full support for fully autonomous flight as loading flight plans etc. in advanced flight controllers capable to perform autonomous missions.

External com. - MSP

- Current MSP protocol version is v.2.0
- It is standard in all Betaflight stack delivered firmwares for variety of flight controllers.
- It uses serial port for communication
 - There are at least 2 modes of communication to maintain compatibility with selected DJI devices, i.e. DJI Gogles for FPV.

RC FrSky Telemetry

External com. - FrSky Telemetry

- Digital, UART compatible.
- Physical layer is 433MHz, 868/915 MHz (USA, Asia).
- Limited transmission power = limited range (some 1 km)
- Implemented with some FrSky RC receiver modules.
 - Not all offer this function. If FrSky module has two antennas it may be the case it is FrSky telemetry-enabled one.
- Binary protocol, open specification.
- Supports limited, pre-defined set of sensors but pretty comprehensive one, including GPS, temperature, RPM, fuel level, voltage levels, altitude (baro) and so on.

RC DJI Tello

- Digital using WiFi, only UDP, no TCP.
 - Radio frequency 2.4 GHz, 802.11.
- Limited transmission power = limited range.
- Standard communication topology is 1:1 (drone to ground station)
 - There is a "multi" mode, that enables drones to connect to the access point along with ground station connected to the AP and then control all of them.
- Control protocol is text based one

There are 3 communication channels that use different UDP ports:

- Commands and replies
 - Bi-directional
- Drone state
 - Drone to ground station
- Video stream
 - Drone to ground station

Following is for Edu version (commercial uses different ports)

- Commands and replies:
 - Adres IP Tello: 192.168.10.1, port 8889.
 - To start programmers mode, you need to execute "command" command.
 - Then Instantiate UDP client and periodically send and receive messages to/from Tello.
 - Replies also come on UDP port 8889.
- Drone state:
 - Start UDP server and listen to the messages from IP 0.0.0.0, port 8890.

- Video stream from the main camera (720p 30fps, H.264 codec):
 - Start UDP server and listen to the packets from the IP 0.0.0.0 i na port 11111.
 - To start transmission, one need to send "streamon" command to the Tello drone using Command channel (port 8890).
External com. - DJI Tello

- Commands and replies channel has 3 types of commands:
 - Control Commands, format "xxx"
 - Replies with "ok", if success.
 - Replies "error" or result code when failed.
 - Set Commands, format "xxx a", where "a" is an argument to set:
 - Replies as above.
 - Read Commands, format xxx?
 - Returns requested parameter value.

External com. - DJI Tello

- Selected Tello command list (refer to the SDK for full documentation):
 - "Command" turn on programmers (SDK) mode
 - "takeoff" automated take-off
 - "land" automated landing
 - "streamon" enable video stream
 - "streamoff" disable video stream
 - "emergency" immediate motors shutdown
 - "up x" ascend by x cm (x is in the range 20-500)
 - "down x" descend (as above)
 - "left x" swipe right by x cm
 - "right x" -swipe left by x cm

Aerial communication with other UAVs and planes

- Digital protocol, 1090MHz
- Easy to implement using SDR, based on popular DVB-T
 USB TV tuner for PCs. Also dedicated receivers.
- Flightradar 24 uses this approach.
- Each passenger plane is supposed to transmit this data.
- UAV may receive it (some FC already include integrated receiver i.e. Orange Cube). Transmission requires certification and ground control (Tower) communication to obtain unique ID.
 - U-space is supposed to provide similar solution for drones.

- ADS-B has couple of modes and is used by ground control (Tower) additional to the voice and radar data.
- Data is unencrypted, protocol is well known but for the extended mode (Mode-S) it is hard to access official documentation.
- There is a variety of implementations of the receivers and decoders, including i.e. Raspberry Pi.

- Along with ID, it delivers GPS position, heading, speed and altitude.
- Data is broadcasted every second (at least once per 5s).
- Using this data one can implement collision avoidance (with planes/helis) for UAVs similar to TCAS:
 - TCAS is closed and secure protocol as it impacts plane flight trajectory, taking over control automatically in the case of the collision possibility, so there is no official documentation nor unofficial implementations known so far.

- Military flights and special missions do not provide ADSB data, while some military drones do.
- So far there is no obligation to broadcast ADS-B data for drones, ultralight and lightweight planes (in particular those older ones), soarers, etc.

Other communication protocols. **Contacting Tower**

External com. - Tower

- U-space implementation in various countries include one or bi-directional communication using mobile application to contact Tower, ask for take-off permission (if needed by the scenario) and so on. In Poland it is Droneradar.
- Integrated web-based solution includes possibility to ask to book airspace in Aviation Agency, present a need for non-standard scenario, etc. That involves communication with the Tower and Flight Control Services.
- Some operations require calling to notify FIS (Flight Information Services).

Video Transmission

- Analogue video is most popular in FPV.
- Usually 5.8GHz, 8-12 channels, devices depending.
- Max transmission power is 200mW according to the regulations.
- Standard is old TV NTSC / PAL (525/625 lines)
 - NTSC: 29.97fps, 720 x 480; 704 x 480; 352 x 480; 352 x 240
 - PAL: 25fps, 720 x 576; 704 x 576; 352 x 576; 352 x 288

- Even if considered obsolete, it has great advantage:
 - Analogue transmission has almost no latency and is essential in rapid FPV racing where any digital image processing brings too much latency to be usable.
- Many modern "analogue" cameras provide capability to record parallel video stream in high resolution for further analysis / documentation / processing.
- Usually there is no dynamic channel switching, so inference and quality drops are common
- Range is related to the transmission power and antennas, using illegal boosters bring even km ranges.

- Digital transmission as MPEG/MJPEG steam (H264, H265)
- Typical resolution rarely go beyond 720p@25fps due to the bandwidth.
- Common radio frequency is also 5.8GHz (sometimes 2.4)
- High latency even up to 1s
 - It is a matter of encoding, decoding and digital processing
- Not suitable for demanding FPV operators.

- Used commonly in the commercial, aerial photography and cinematography drones.
- As cameras usually present higher resolution than 720p (1080p, 4k, 5k, 8k), it is common to use low resolution downlink for the operator and high resolution on-drone storage (TF card), eventually, separate, professional high resolution downlink for professional solutions.

Navigation protocols

Navigation protocols

Knowing drone 3D position is essential for successful operations, whether autonomous or manual.

• Drones use variety of positioning methods, including (among others) satellite navigation, distance meters, optical flow.

Satellite positioning

- For outdoor flights, satellite positioning device is an essential part of the equipment:
 - Common is to use NMEA protocol between GPS receiver and FC, usually over serial (UART).
 - NMEA is high level protocol.
- Modern receivers use more than one satellite constellation to deliver accurate positioning:
 - GPS (Navstar) USA
 - Galileo EU
 - Glonass RU
 - Beidou CN

Distance sensing

- Uses variety of sensors including lidars, ultrasound sensors and IR sensors.
- Lidars usually have some sort of proprietary protocol.
 - To map the nearby area based on the cloud point it is required pretty high computing capacity
- Other distance sensors usually use digital signalling, UART, SPI and I2C.

Flow and optical positioning

- Use flow sensors, similar to the PC's optical mouse:
 - On the high level it returns relative movement of the sensor, usually in mm or cm.
 - Low level protocols utilize SPI, I2C and UART, eventually CAN.
 - In details, this technique is used to keep drone's position rather than navigate.
- Camera based and image processing
 - Uses image processing and AI based algorithms
 - Requires dedicated co-processor, as FC is usually too constrained to handle complex image processing and AI algorithms.

The end